25 January 1960

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR

- 1. This memorandum recommends action in Baregraphs 5, 6, and 7.
- 2. Marray Snyder spant same 2 hours and 15 minutes fly-specking your speech. He thinks it is a superior effort and that it will do a great deal to help the Defense Department. He asked that I furnish him with 40 copies tomorrow whenever they are ready for distribution so that both civilian and military speakers of the Defense Department will be furnished copies and advised to "quote Allen Dalles" in answering questions on intelligence estimates, etc.
- 3. He told me that Defense is working on a statement that the President might use at his news conference tomorrow. Suyder said the President will hold a news conference tomorrow rather than Wednesday. A public amnouncement of this has not yet been made. Swyder believes that Cates today in open testimony will clarify his position to a good degree. It is Sayder's opinion that Cates should clear up his own statement of last week. The political aspects of the problem, however, particularly Senator Johnson's picking up the statement and using it for political purposes might be something the President should ensuer. He asked my opinion. I teld him I hoped the President would state without qualification that any civilian or military officer who is influenced by domestic politics in readhing istelligence or military decisions that affect the security of the W5 should be fired forthwith by the Streptions.
- 4. On your particular speech Snyder had comments regarding Pages 16, 19, and 29.
- 5. On Page 16, Line 3, he recommends thatyyou omit "cultural groups" as among the visitors to Russia from whom we gain a better knowledge of the USSR.

He believes this is a peg with which the Russians could do some anti-U5 propagands by saying that "Allen Dulles said cultural groups are used for explorage." I would recommend that you delete Line 3, Page 16.

- 6. On Page 19, Line 10 it is stated "We is intelligence are not experts on American weapons." Suyder points out that in the very next sentence we do have some knowledge of American weaponry that we use as guides in judging the capabilities of other nations. So believes your disclaimer is too complete. He thinks it might be more accurate if you said "We are not expected to be experts on American weapons" or some other such thought. Here, too, I believe that he has a point worthy of this slight change.
- 7. On Page 29 at the bottom of the page, the last sentence reads "There are also other instances where the intelligence was properly reported but not heeded." He takes this to mean Pearl Marbor, but it could mean other instances. He wonders if you shouldn't make a distinction between Pearl Harbor and some other illustration. I have no recommendation here.
- 8. Sayder was particularly pleased with Page 10, especially where you state that "intelligence should not be publicised." He thought everything you say on Page 10 will have a very good influence with people in the Defense Department. He showed me a copy of Saturday's MEW NORK TIMES where Hanson Heldwin has a story which contains classified information that could have come from Convair, from SAC, or from the Air Force and maybe it should be made public Snyder said, but the decision should not have been Heldwin's and the information should not have been given to him either by industry or by the military or by anybody class who had that information.

S/ S. J. Grogan

STANLEY J. GROGAN

CC-DDCI